IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

NICOLE RAWLEY and ANNA :

KRANOVA,

Plaintiffs,

13,

V.

Civil Action No.: 1:15-CV-03550-AT

TATTLE TAIL, INC. d/b/a Tattletale

Lounge, a corporation; and

DENIS KAUFMAN and RICHARD

R. SCHRONCE, individuals,

:

Defendants. :

JOINT MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT AND INCORPORATED MEMORANDUM OF LAW

Plaintiffs Nicole Rawley and Anna Kranova (collectively "Plaintiffs") and Defendants Tattle Tail, Inc. d/b/a Tattletale Lounge ("Tattletale"), Denis Kaufman and Richard R. Schronce (collectively "Defendants"), by and through their respective undersigned counsel of record, move this Court to approve the parties' stipulated settlement, as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), and to enter the proposed Order Approving Settlement submitted herewith. This settlement contemplates payment to the Plaintiffs for their purported FLSA damages and provides for payment to the Plaintiffs' counsel of attorneys' fees and costs incurred

in the prosecution of this lawsuit. In support of this Motion, the parties jointly represent to the Court as follows:

I. <u>SETTLEMENT OF FLSA CLAIMS IN THE ELEVENTH</u> <u>CIRCUIT</u>

In the Eleventh Circuit, there are two ways in which claims under the FLSA may be settled and released by employees. First, Section 216(c) of the FLSA allows employees to settle and waive their claims under the FLSA if the payment of unpaid wages by the employer to the employee is supervised by the Secretary of Labor. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 216(c); *Lynn's Food Stores, Inc.* v. *United States*, 679 F.2d 1350, 1353 (11th Cir. 1982). Second, in the context of a private lawsuit brought by an employee against an employer under Section 216(b) of the FLSA, an employee may settle and release FLSA claims against an employer if the parties present the District Court with a proposed settlement and the District Court enters a stipulated judgment approving the fairness of the settlement. *Id.* In addressing the circumstances justifying court approval of FLSA settlements in the litigation context, the Eleventh Circuit has stated as follows:

Settlements may be permissible in the context of a suit brought by employees under the FLSA for back wages because initiation of the action by the employees provides some assurance of an adversarial context. The employees are likely to be represented by an attorney who can protect their rights under the statute. Thus, when the parties submit a settlement to the court for approval, the settlement is more likely to reflect a reasonable compromise of disputed issues than a mere waiver of statutory rights brought by an employer's overreaching. If a settlement in an employee FLSA suit does reflect a reasonable compromise over issues, such as FLSA coverage or computation of back wages that are actually in dispute, we allow the District Court to approve the settlement in order to promote the policy of encouraging settlement of litigation.

Lynn's Food Stores, 679 F.2d at 1354.

As the court in *Dees v. Hydradry, Inc.*, 706 F. Supp. 2d 1227, 1240-1 (M.D. Fla. 2010) noted:

Lynn's Foods requires the parties to an FLSA compromise to present the proposed agreement to the district court, which "may enter a stipulated judgment after scrutinizing the settlement for fairness." 679 F.2d at 1353. Although noting the unfairness of the settlements at issue, Lynn's Foods specifies no criteria for evaluating the "fairness" of a proposed compromise in a different case. Bonetti v. Embarq Management Co., 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 68075, 2009 WL 2371407 at *4 (M.D. Fla. Aug. 4, 2009), describes the problem faced by a district court:

Short of a bench trial, the Court is generally not in as good a position as the parties to determine the reasonableness of an FLSA settlement. Many factors may be in play as the parties negotiate a compromise that is acceptable to both sides. The parties may disagree as to the number of hours worked by the plaintiff, the plaintiff's status as an exempt employee, or the defendant's status as a covered employer. In certain cases, the Defendant may assert (or threaten to assert) a counterclaim arising from the employment relationship. If the parties are represented by competent counsel in an adversary context, the settlement they reach will, almost by definition, be reasonable.

Rarely will the Court be in a position to competently declare that such a settlement is "unreasonable."

Nevertheless, the district court must "scrutinize the settlement for fairness." To fully implement the policy embodied by the FLSA, the district should scrutinize the compromise in two steps. First, the court should consider whether the compromise is fair and reasonable to the employee (factors "internal" to the compromise). If the compromise is reasonable to the employee, the court should inquire whether the compromise otherwise impermissibly frustrates implementation of the FLSA (factors "external" to the compromise). The court should approve the compromise only if the compromise is reasonable to the employee and furthers implementation of the FLSA in the workplace.

(Emphasis added.) The "internal factors" to be considered are: (1) the existence of fraud or collusion behind the settlement; (2) the complexity, expense, and likely duration of the litigation; (3) the stage of the proceedings and the amount of discovery completed; (4) the probability of plaintiff's success on the merits; (5) the range of possible recovery amounts; and (6) the opinions of the counsel. *Id.* at 1241.

The "external factors" concern whether the compromise frustrates the purposes of the FLSA. Factors to be considered are whether the compromise requires confidentiality, whether there exist other similarly situated employees, the likelihood that the claimant's circumstance will recur, whether there is a history of FLSA non-compliance by the same employer or others in the same industry or geographic region, "or the requirement for a mature record and a pointed

determination of the governing factual or legal issue to further the development of the law either in general or in an industry or in a workplace." *Id.* at 1244. As shown below, the factors strongly favor approving the proposed settlement in the instant case.

II. THE PARTIES' SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT IS A FAIR AND REASONABLE RESOLUTION OF THE BONA FIDE DISPUTE BETWEEN THE PARTIES

a. The Litigation and Settlement

Plaintiffs Nicole Rawley and Anna Kranova were originally a named plaintiff and an opt-in plaintiff, respectfully, in the related action, styled, *Farnsworth et al. v. Tattle Tail, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:14-CV-00090-AT (the "Collective Action"), involving the same FLSA claims brought against Defendants Tattletale and Kaufman. After the parties reached a proposed settlement in the Collective Action following mediation, Plaintiffs Rawley and Kranova retained substitute counsel and the parties stipulated to the dismissal of Rawley's claims against Defendants without prejudice, and Rawley and Kranova withdrew their consents to serve as party representative and opt-in plaintiff, respectfully. This Court approved the settlement in the Collective Action, and this Action followed.

On October 6, 2015, Plaintiffs commenced this Action by filing their Complaint [Doc. 1]. In the Complaint, Plaintiffs, former entertainers at Defendant

Tattle Tail, Inc. d/b/a Tattletale Lounge ("Tattletale"), alleged that Defendants willfully misclassified Plaintiffs as independent contractors and failed to pay them minimum wages in violation of the FLSA. Plaintiffs also assert claims pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7434 alleging that Defendants' willfully filed fraudulent information returns by reporting Plaintiffs' earnings on IRS Forms 1099-MISC.

On February 15, 2016, Defendants filed a consent motion to extend their time to answer the Complaint through March 17, 2016 [Doc. 12] in order to allow the parties adequate time to investigate Plaintiffs' allegations, prepare their respective damage calculations, and conduct settlement negotiations. The Court granted the motion on February 16, 2016 [Doc. 13]. Thereafter, the parties continued to investigate the background facts, research the legal claims and defenses at issue in the case, and exchange detailed damage calculations and settlement proposals. On March 17, 2016, after reaching a settlement in principle, the parties filed a joint motion to stay all proceedings and deadlines in the Action pending a ruling on the instant joint motion to approve the settlement [Doc. 14]. The Court granted the motion on March 18, 2016 [Doc. 18]. The parties' settlement is memorialized in the proposed Settlement Agreement submitted herewith as **Exhibit 1**.

b. The Settlement Terms

The Settlement Agreement provides for Tattletale to pay the total amount of \$81,250 to resolve Plaintiff's claims. Section III.A of the Settlement Agreement contains a schedule showing the allocation of the settlement fund amongst Plaintiffs, and the amounts attributed to attorneys' fees and litigation expenses to their current and former attorneys. The settlement payments shall be made on a schedule with an initial payment of \$25,000 (more than 30% of the total settlement) within 5 business days following the Court's approval of the settlement, and the remaining balance paid over a 15-month period thereafter in equal monthly installments of \$3,750.

The Court will recall that Plaintiffs Rawley and Kranova were dissatisfied with the proposed settlement in the Collective Action and, with this Court's permission, "opted-out" of that case to pursue their own claims in this action. The proposed settlement in the Collective Action would have paid Rawley \$10,642.69 and Kranova \$7,469.08. After Rawley and Kranova "opted-out" of that case, this Court approved the settlement on behalf of the other plaintiffs on September 25, 2015.

The current proposed settlement, if approved, will pay Rawley \$29,473.42 and will pay Kranova \$19,855.53 after attorneys' fees and costs.

The proposed settlement will pay these Plaintiffs almost 300% more than the previous settlement would have paid them. Half of the total amount of the settlement proceeds to each Plaintiff will represent wages and half will represent liquidated damages.

Plaintiffs' former counsel of the firm Smith & Collins, Attorneys, LLC, are to receive total fee and costs of \$8,750.06 to compensate them for the work performed on Plaintiffs' behalf in the Collective Action. This amount reflects the pro rata shares of the attorney's fees attributable to plaintiffs in the Collective Action, which was approved by this Court as fair and reasonable.

Plaintiffs' current counsel of the firm DeLong, Caldwell, Bridgers, Fitzpatrick & Benjamin, LLC will receive \$23,170.99 in total fees and costs. This represents \$16,124 in negotiated attorney's fees, plus \$7,046.99 as a 25% contingency share of Plaintiffs' liquidated damages, pursuant to Plaintiffs' Retainer Agreement with their current counsel. As of March 28, 2016, the current *actual* fees and costs of Plaintiffs' current counsel are in excess of \$22,000. *See* Exhibit 2 (Declaration of Mitchell D. Benjamin).

¹ Plaintiffs' retainer agreement calls for a contingency fee of 33% of any non-wage based recovery, and 25% of liquidated damages recovery. Although Plaintiffs' complaint included non-FLSA claims pursuant to 26 U.S.C. 7434, no portion of the settlement has been designated as non-wage based.

In exchange for the settlement payments, Plaintiffs have agreed to dismiss the Action with prejudice, to neither reapply, nor seek re-employment with Tattletale in the future, and to release any and all claims they may have against Defendants for alleged failure to pay for work performed at Tattletale, alleged violation of the FLSA or any state wage and hour law arising from their employment with Tattletale, and all claims which were or could have been brought against Defendants in the Action.

Following good faith, arms-length negotiations, the parties reached a compromise settlement of all claims. At all times during the litigation and settlement negotiations, the parties were represented by experienced counsel.

The parties agree that the settlement reflects a reasonable compromise of these disputed issues. Plaintiffs and their counsel discussed Plaintiffs' estimated number of shifts and hours worked, and the tip-outs and other fees they paid to Tattletale, and formulated proposed settlement figures. During their settlement negotiations, counsel for the parties submitted their respective damage calculations and case valuations. The parties, through their attorneys, voluntarily agreed to the settlement terms. Plaintiffs and Defendants have approved and signed the Settlement Agreement.

The parties negotiated, determined, and calculated the amounts to be paid to each Plaintiff, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The settlement payment

amounts were derived based on records exchanged by the parties evidencing Plaintiffs' respective number of shifts and hours worked, the average tip-out and other fees paid by Plaintiffs per shift, and the attorneys' fees and costs incurred by Plaintiffs' current attorneys, along with those incurred by Plaintiffs' former counsel, who retained an attorneys' lien on this case after Plaintiffs' substitution of counsel.

Each party to the Settlement Agreement has had a full, informed opportunity to review and analyze the individualized damage calculations made by the other party. While the parties are not in agreement about all the inferences that might properly be drawn from the evidence, they are confident that continued litigation of this case would not produce results more economically beneficial than this stipulated compromise settlement submitted to the Court.

c. The Internal Factors Demonstrate That the Settlement Agreement is Fair and Reasonable and Should Be Approved

1. There is No Collusion Behind the Settlement

As the pleadings (in this action and in the Collective Action) and billing records of Plaintiffs' counsel demonstrate, this was a contested litigation of a bona fide dispute, which was resolved only after the parties exchanged detailed information concerning Plaintiffs' estimated number of shifts and hours worked, and the tip-outs and other fees they paid to Tattletale. This discovery narrowed the range

of possible recovery amounts for Plaintiffs. Further, settlement was negotiated over several months, with multiple offers and counter-offers exchanged and frank discussion among counsel about the strengths and weaknesses of each party's position before settlement was ultimately agreed upon. Plaintiffs' counsel's fees were also negotiated separately from the settlement proceeds to be paid to Plaintiff. It is therefore clear that there is no collusion between counsel for the Plaintiff and Defendants. This factor strongly militates in favor of approving the proposed settlement.

2. The Complexity, Expense, And Likely Duration Of The Litigation Favor Approving The Proposed Settlement

This Action is legally complex because of the number of possible defenses that Defendants could have raised, as well as factually complex as in almost all potential misclassification cases. Substantial development of the facts was required in order for the parties to reach settlement. The parties and their counsel prudently attempted to devote their limited resources towards settlement, rather than continued litigation. If settlement were not reached at this time, numerous depositions would have needed to be taken, and significant delay in resolution of this dispute would ensue.

The Action involved heavily disputed legal and factual issues, including whether: (a) Plaintiffs, who performed as exotic dancers, qualified as "employees" or "independent contractors" (not covered under the FLSA); (b) Tattletale is entitled to an offset against any purported minimum wage liability for the performance fees it allowed Plaintiffs to retain; (c) any alleged FLSA violation by Defendants was "willful"—thereby extending the statute of limitations from 2 to 3 years; (d) Defendants can establish a good faith defense to avoid liquidated damages; (e) Plaintiffs are entitled to recover for the tip-out fees, late fees and other fees they paid to Tattletale; and (f) Plaintiffs are entitled to damages based on their claims that Defendants intentionally filed fraudulent information returns with the IRS. Furthermore, because Plaintiffs dispute the accuracy of Defendants' records of Plaintiffs' shifts and working hours, these compensable hours would have had to be recreated with alternate evidence. Therefore, many issues remain to be litigated if the settlement is not approved, with no accompanying commensurate benefit to Plaintiffs, as the settlement provides for damages within the range Plaintiffs could potentially have proven at trial.

The expense and likely duration of the litigation also support approving the Settlement Agreement. It is clear that this case is being aggressively litigated by both sides and that it will otherwise not be resolved quickly.

3. The Stage of the Proceedings and the Amount of Discovery Completed Support Approving the Settlement Agreement

Defendants have provided records evidencing Plaintiffs' shifts and work hours, which helped Plaintiffs and their counsel establish a reasonable range of recovery. This range was established by Plaintiffs and their counsel, and not by Defendants. As such, Plaintiffs and their counsel had sufficient information at the time the case was conditionally settled to determine a reasonably accurate range of potential damages and recoveries. This factor, too, weighs in favor of approving the Settlement Agreement.

4. The Probability of Plaintiffs' Success and the Range of Recovery Support Approving the Proposed Settlement Agreement

The parties strongly disagree as the merits of this case. Plaintiffs and their counsel believe that disputed issues of fact likely would permit this case to go to trial and that Plaintiffs' testimony as to liability and damages would be credited by a jury. Defendants contend that they would prevail at trial, and that even if Plaintiffs were found to be employees rather than independent contractors, their potential recovery would be offset by the amount of service charges Defendants permitted them to retain. Moreover, Plaintiffs acknowledge that carrying their burden of proving scienter on their 26 U.S.C. § 7434 claims would present substantial difficulty at trial. The facts of this case create substantial uncertainties and risks for all Parties and was

a significant catalyst of serious settlement negotiations. As the Settlement Agreement provides for a recovery of Plaintiffs within the range of possible recovery amounts as determined by Plaintiffs and their counsel, it should be approved as fair.

d. The "External Factors" Support Approving the Settlement Agreement

The "external factors" to examine when considering the fairness of a proposed FLSA settlement, address whether the compromise frustrates the purposes of the FLSA. *Dees*, 706 F. Supp. 2d at 1244. Factors to be considered are whether the compromise requires confidentiality, whether there exist other similarly situated employees, the likelihood that the claimant's circumstance will recur, whether there is a history of FLSA non-compliance by the same employer or others in the same industry or geographic region, "or the requirement for a mature record and a pointed determination of the governing factual or legal issue to further the development of the law either in general or in an industry or in a workplace." *Id*.

These factors also favor approval of the Settlement Agreement. First, the compromise is filed as a public record. Further, there is no confidentiality imposed on Plaintiffs. This settlement also does not affect the rights of anyone but Plaintiffs (and their counsel) and Defendants. While there are other workers, employees or independent contractors who are similarly situated to Plaintiffs, those employees

have had a full opportunity to "opt-in" as plaintiffs in the Collective Action. As the external factors support approval of the Settlement Agreement, the parties respectfully request that this Court approve it.

e. Plaintiffs' counsel's attorney's fees are fair and reasonable

The Supreme Court established a "lodestar" framework for analyzing attorney's fees awards under fee-shifting statutes in *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 103 S. Ct 1933, 76 L.Ed.2d 40 (1983), as interpreted in *Norman v. Housing Authority of the City of Montgomery*, 836 F.2d 1292 (11th Cir. 1988) and *Perkins v. Mobile Housing Bd.*, 847 F.2d 735 (11th Cir. 1988).

In light of the factors specified in *Johnson v. Georgia Highway Express*, 488 F.2d 714 (5th Cir 1974), the total fees and costs of \$31,921.05 (including fees and costs of \$8,750.06 to the firm of Smith & Collins, Attorneys, LLC, to compensate them for the work performed on Plaintiffs' behalf in the earlier litigation) which will be paid to Plaintiffs' counsel pursuant to the settlement agreement is eminently reasonable. This is especially so because the actual fees and costs incurred by Plaintiffs' current counsel are in excess of \$22,000 and they will receive \$23,170.99. An agreement identical to Plaintiffs' contractual agreement with their current counsel that counsel will retain 25% of Plaintiffs' liquidated damages recovery has

previously been approved as fair and reasonable by Judge Duffey in *Benton et al. v. A-Tow, Inc. et al.*, 1:15-cv-1257-WSD, Dkt. 22, 24 (N.D. Ga.).

The hourly rates of Plaintiffs' current counsel are reasonable given their experience, as attested to in their attached declarations. **Exhibit 2** and **Exhibit 3** (Declaration of Matthew W. Herrington). Furthermore, none of the hours expended by Plaintiffs' current counsel have been "excessive", "redundant" or "unnecessary," and the work they were required to do after being retained was lessened as a result of Matthew Herrington's participation as counsel for Plaintiffs in the Collective Action before moving to his current firm. At every opportunity, Plaintiffs' attorneys attempted to economize and to minimize legal fees and expenses.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the parties respectfully request that the Court approve the parties' settlement as a fair and reasonable disposition of the claims and defenses asserted in this Action; and approve the releases, payment of attorneys' fees and costs, itemized monetary distribution to Plaintiffs, and other terms as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and dismiss Plaintiffs' claims with prejudice, by entering the proposed Order Granting this Joint Motion to Approve Settlement submitted contemporaneously herewith. The parties further request that this Court retain jurisdiction over this matter to enforce the terms of the settlement.

Respectfully submitted this 5th day of April, 2016.

s/ Mitchell D. Benjamin_

Mitchell D. Benjamin

Georgia Bar No. 049888

DeLong, Caldwell, Bridgers,

Fitzpatrick & Benjamin, LLC

3100 Centennial Tower

101 Marietta Street

Atlanta, GA 30303

Tel: (404) 979-3171 Fax: (404) 979-3170

Email: benjamin@dcbflegal.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

s/ Gregory R. Fidlon

Gregory R. Fidlon

Georgia Bar No. 259317

Law Offices of Gregory R. Fidlon, P.C.

Northpark Town Center

1200 Abernathy Road

Bldg. 600, Suite 1700

Atlanta, GA 30328

Tel: (770) 807-0083

Fax: (770) 807-0460

Email: greg@fidlonlegal.com

Attorneys for Defendants

FONT CERTIFICATION

The undersigned hereby certifies that this pleading complies with the font requirements of L.R. 5.1B because the document has been prepared in Times New Roman, 14 point.

<u>s/ Gregory R. Fidlon</u>Attorney for Defendants

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

NICOLE RAWLEY and ANNA :

KRANOVA,

Plaintiffs,

•

V.

Civil Action No.: 1:15-CV-03550-AT

TATTLE TAIL, INC. d/b/a Tattletale

Lounge, a corporation; and

DENIS KAUFMAN and RICHARD :

R. SCHRONCE, individuals,

:

Defendants. :

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this 5th day of April, 2016 electronically filed this JOINT MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send electronic notification to all participating attorneys of record.

/s/ Gregory R. Fidlon
Counsel for Defendants

EXHIBIT 1

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

This Settlement Agreement and Release (the "Agreement") is made and entered into by and between NICOLE RAWLEY and ANNA KRANOVA (collectively "Plaintiffs") and Defendants TATTLE TAIL, INC. d/b/a Tattletale Lounge ("Tattletale"), DENIS KAUFMAN, and RICHARD R. SCHRONCE (collectively "Defendants"). Defendants and Plaintiffs do hereby agree to do all things necessary and appropriate to obtain approval of this Agreement in consideration for: (a) payment by Tattletale of the consideration expressed herein subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations of this Agreement; (b) the release and dismissal with prejudice of all claims of failure to pay compensation for work performed for Defendants by Plaintiffs; (c) the release and dismissal with prejudice of all claims which were or could have been asserted by Plaintiffs against Defendants; and (d) other valuable monetary and non-monetary consideration as set forth herein.

I. COVENANTS AND DISCLOSURES

- A. Covenant to Cooperate: The parties shall cooperate with each other to prepare and file a mutually-agreeable consent motion seeking the court's approval of this Agreement within ten (10) days of the final execution of this Agreement by the parties. This executed Agreement shall be Exhibit 1 to the parties' Consent Motion for Settlement Approval.
- B. Disclosure as to Adequacy and Fairness of Settlement: Prior to reaching this Agreement, counsel for the parties calculated both Plaintiff's alleged damages for back wages and liquidated damages based upon relevant available information, as well as information exchanged between the parties, and based on the parties' informed estimates and knowledge of the matters at hand. The parties and their respective counsel believe that this Agreement constitutes a fair and reasonable compromise of disputed claims and enter into this Agreement voluntarily and knowingly after analyzing the risks of continued litigation and their likelihood of success on the

merits and negotiating its material terms at arms-length between counsel for the parties. The payments to Plaintiffs as set forth in this Agreement constitute valid consideration for their return promises, releases, and obligations provided in this Agreement. Plaintiffs acknowledge that, with the payments stated in this Agreement, they have been fully compensated by Defendants for all unpaid wages, including unpaid overtime wages, fees, liquidated damages, attorney's fees and any other damages allegedly owed by Defendants, and that no other form of compensation or other damage of any kind is owed to them by Defendants. Plaintiffs further acknowledge that they are receiving adequate consideration for their full release of claims in this Agreement and that such consideration, but for this Agreement, would not otherwise be owed to them. In reaching this Agreement, Plaintiffs acknowledge and appreciate the expense and length of continued proceedings necessary to prosecute this matter through trial and appeals, have taken into account the uncertain outcome and risk of continued litigation, as well as the difficulties, delays, and risks of collection inherent in such litigation. The parties believe that this Agreement confers substantial benefits upon the Plaintiffs.

C. No Re-Application/Re-Employment: Plaintiffs agree to neither re-apply, nor seek re-employment with Tattletale at any time in the future.

II. SETTLEMENT APPROVAL PROCEDURE

This Agreement will become final and effective upon occurrence of all of the following events:

- **A.** Full execution of the Agreement by the parties;
- **B.** Submission of this Agreement to the Court for approval by means of a Consent Motion for Settlement Approval; and
 - **C.** Entry of an Order by the Court granting approval of this Agreement.

III. <u>SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS</u>

A. The Settling Parties have agreed that Tattletale shall pay Plaintiffs the total amount of Eighty-One Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars and No Cents (\$81,250.00) to be allocated as follows:

Total Settlement Amount:	\$81,250.00
Smith and Collins, Attorneys LLC	\$8,750.00
Mitchell D. Benjamin LLC	\$16,124.00
Anna Kranova – Liquidated Damages	\$11,346.00
Anna Kranova	\$11,346.00
Nicole Rawley – Liquidated Damages	\$16,842.00
Nicole Rawley	\$16,842.00

- **B.** The total settlement amount identified immediately above shall be payable by Tattletale, as follows:
- 1. Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00) within five (5) business days of the Entry of the Court's Order granting approval of this Agreement, consisting of the following checks:
- (a) A check for Nine Thousand Sixty-Eight Dollars and Seventy-Seven Cents (\$9,068.77) payable to Nicole Rawley;
- (b) A check for Six Thousand One Hundred Nine Dollars and Thirty-Eight Cents (\$6,109.38) payable to Anna Kranova;
- (c) A check for Seven Thousand One Hundred Twenty-Nine Dollars and Fifty-Four Cents (\$7,129.54) payable to Mitchell D. Benjamin LLC; and
- (d) A check for Two Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-Two Dollars and Thirty-One Cents (\$2,692.31) payable to Smith and Collins, Attorneys LLC

- 2. Three Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Dollars and No Cents (\$3,750.00) per month for fifteen (15) consecutive months beginning on the fifteenth (15th) day of the first month following the month in which the payment identified in Section III.B.1 is made, consisting of the following checks:
- (a) A check for One Thousand Three Hundred Sixty Dollars and Thirty-One Cents (\$1,360.31) payable to Nicole Rawley;
- (b) A check for Nine Hundred Sixteen Dollars and Forty-One Cents(\$916.41) payable to Anna Kranova;
- (c) A check for One Thousand Sixty-Nine Dollars and Forty-Three Cents (\$1,069.43) payable to Mitchell D. Benjamin LLC; and
- (d) A check for Four Hundred Three Dollars and Eighty-Five Cents (\$403.85) payable to Smith and Collins, Attorneys LLC
- C. In the event Tattletale does not make any of its scheduled payments as described above within a five (5) day grace period following the due date, and does not cure the deficiency within five (5) days of Defendants' counsel receiving written notice of the deficiency from Plaintiffs' counsel, Defendants shall be in default and all amounts then due and owing pursuant to this Agreement shall be accelerated regardless of the payment schedule. In such case, Plaintiffs shall be immediately entitled to submit a Consent Judgment in the form attached as Exhibit "A" in the amount of the remaining principal without further action by the trial court.
- D. The settlement payments to Nicole Rawley, Anna Kranova and Mitchell D. Benjamin LLC shall be sent to DeLong, Caldwell, Bridgers, Fitzpatrick & Benjamin, LLC, 3100 Centennial Tower, 101 Marietta Street NW, Atlanta, GA 30303 (Attn: Matthew Herrington, Esq.). The settlement payments to Smith and Collins, Attorneys LLC shall be sent to: Smith and Collins, Attorneys LLC, 8565 Dunwoody Place, Building 15, Suite B, Atlanta, Georgia 30350

(Attn: Anthony Collins, Esq.). A Form 1099 shall be issued by Tattletale to Plaintiffs' counsel, Mitchell D. Benjamin LLC (EIN: 47-3366577), and former counsel, Smith and Collins, Attorneys LLC (EIN: 45-4484154), for their share of the settlement payments made pursuant to this Agreement. Tattletale shall issue a Form 1099 indicating income in Box 3 to each of the Plaintiffs for their share of the settlement payments. Per Plaintiffs' retainer agreement with counsel, Mitchell D. Benjamin LLC will be receiving twenty-five percent (25%) of the liquidated damages allocated to Plaintiffs, as additional attorneys' fees. Accordingly, the following total amounts will be reported on the Form 1099s (combined for 2016 and 2017):

Total Settlement Amount:	\$81,250.00
Smith and Collins, Attorneys LLC	\$8,750.06
Mitchell D. Benjamin LLC	\$23,170.99
Anna Kranova	\$19,855.53
Nicole Rawley	\$29,473.42

- E. Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' counsel are solely responsible for the payment of their respective tax liabilities, obligations or consequences that are or may become due and payable in connection with the settlement payments made pursuant to this Agreement. Each Plaintiff agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the Releasees (as that term is defined in Section V) against any taxes, interest, penalties and other liabilities imposed on them as a result of a failure to pay or withhold any payroll taxes or other amounts from the settlement payments for that Plaintiff. Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' counsel acknowledge that Defendants make no representations or warranties concerning the potential tax obligations, liabilities or consequences associated with any portion of the settlement payments.
- **F.** Within one (1) business day following receipt of the payment identified in Section III.B.1, Plaintiffs' counsel will cause to be filed with the Court a Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice of the civil action it filed on behalf of the Plaintiffs and against Defendants in the U.S.

District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, *Nicole Rawley and Anna Kranova v. Tattle Tail, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 1:15-cv-03550-AT (the "Action").

IV. EFFECT OF NON-APPROVAL

In the event that any of the conditions specified in Section II of this Agreement are not satisfied, or in the event that this Agreement does not obtain approval of the Court for any reason, all matters covered by this Agreement shall be null and void. In such event, nothing in this Agreement shall be used or construed by or against any party as a determination, admission, or concession of any issue of law or fact in the litigation; and the parties do not waive, and instead expressly reserve, their respective rights with respect to the prosecution and defense of the litigation as if this Agreement never existed.

V. RELEASE BY PLAINTIFFS

In exchange for the considerations recited in this Agreement, Plaintiffs hereby agree to dismiss with prejudice the Action and not to re-file the same and to forever release, acquit, discharge, and covenant not to sue Tattletale, Denis G. Kaufman and Richard R. Schronce and all of their past, present, and future divisions, affiliates, predecessors, successors, shareholders, officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, trustees, representatives, administrators, fiduciaries, assigns, subrogees, executors, partners, parents, subsidiaries and privies (collectively, "Releasees") for: (a) the alleged failure to pay for work performed by Plaintiffs for Releasees at Tattletale lounge; (b) the alleged violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act or any state wage and hour law arising from Plaintiffs' employment with Releasees at Tattletale lounge; and (c) all claims which were or could have been brought against Releasees in the Action, including without limitation all state and federal claims for wages, penalties, interest, liquidated damages, and employment taxes, as well as claims for attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, regarding Plaintiffs' work at Tattletale lounge.

VI. REPRESENTATION BY COUNSEL

All of the parties acknowledge that they have been represented by counsel throughout all negotiations which preceded the execution of this Agreement and that this Agreement has been executed following receiving advice from their respective counsel.

VII. NO ADMISSION OF LIABILITY

The parties acknowledge and agree that liability for the actions which are the subject matter of this Agreement is disputed by Defendants. This Agreement and the settlement are a compromise and shall not be construed as an admission of liability at any time or for any purpose, under any circumstances, by Defendants. The parties further acknowledge and agree that this Agreement and the settlement shall not be used to suggest an admission of liability in any dispute the parties may have now or in the future with respect to any person or entity. Neither this Agreement nor anything herein, nor any part of the negotiations had in connection herewith, shall constitute evidence with respect to any issue or dispute, and therefore shall not be admissible for any purpose in any other proceeding, except to enforce this Agreement.

VIII. <u>ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND EXPENSES</u>

The amounts to be paid by Tattletale as set forth in Section III above fully satisfy any and all claims for attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, including any related litigation expenses, of the Plaintiffs. Defendants have no responsibility for any other or additional fees, costs, or expenses of the Plaintiffs, who shall bear responsibility for their own attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, taxable or otherwise, incurred by them or arising out of this litigation and shall not seek reimbursement thereof from any party to this Agreement. Defendants shall also bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.

IX. AUTHORITY AND REPRESENTATIONS OF PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL

Plaintiffs' counsel warrant and represent that they are expressly authorized by Plaintiffs to take all appropriate action required or permitted to be taken pursuant to this Agreement in order to effectuate its terms. As a material inducement for Defendants to enter into this Agreement, Plaintiffs' counsel, DeLong, Caldwell, Bridgers, Fitzpatrick & Benjamin, LLC, and former counsel Smith and Collins, Attorneys LLC, on behalf of themselves and all of their lawyers, staff, and any other lawyer or law firm with which they are affiliated, hereby represent that they: (a) do not currently represent and are not currently aware of any other individual who is considering bringing or intends to bring any claim against the Releasees similar to those claims asserted in the Action; (b) are not actively seeking or encouraging any other individual to bring or assert such claims, and shall not do so in the future; (c) are not currently publicizing, either electronically (e.g., through a firm-sponsored Website, mailing, or advertising materials, or on Facebook, Twitter or the like) or by any other means, and shall not publicize this Agreement, the terms of the Agreement, and/or the Action; (d) have not contacted any representative of the media (e.g., press, TV, radio, Internet), and have not made any press release, public statement, or statement to any third party, concerning this Agreement, the terms of the Agreement, and shall not do so in the future; and (e) in the event that they are retained by another individual seeking legal representation in connection with a claim or claims similar to those that were asserted in the Action, they shall, subject to such individual's approval, notify counsel for Defendants so as to provide Defendants the opportunity to discuss the possible resolution of the matter prior to the filing of any claim or lawsuit.

X. MODIFICATION OF AGREEMENT

This Agreement may not be modified or amended except in writing, signed by the respective counsel of record for the Settling Parties and as approved by the Court.

XI. FURTHER COOPERATION

The Settling Parties and their respective attorneys shall proceed diligently to prepare and execute all documents to seek the necessary Court approval, and to do all things reasonably necessary to consummate the Agreement and settlement as expeditiously as possible.

XII. CONSTRUCTION AND INTERPRETATION

This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and supersedes all prior agreements between the Settling Parties. Except as expressly provided herein, this Agreement has not been executed in reliance upon any other oral or written representations or terms, and no such extrinsic oral or written representations or terms shall modify, vary, or contradict its terms. In entering into this Agreement, the Settling Parties agree that this Agreement is to be construed according to its terms and may not be varied or contradicted by extrinsic evidence. This Agreement shall be construed as a whole according to its fair meaning and intent, and not strictly for or against any party, regardless of who drafted or who was principally responsible for drafting it, or any specific term or condition thereof. Georgia law shall govern the construction and interpretation of this Agreement.

XIII. COUNTERPARTS

This Agreement, any amendments or modifications to it, and any other documents required or contemplated to be executed in order to consummate this Agreement, may be executed in one or more counterparts (including counterparts which are copies, and which are sent by email, fax, or other method), each of which shall be deemed an original. All counterparts of any such document together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

XIV. BINDING EFFECTS

This Agreement is binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the Settling Parties to this Agreement, as well as their respective attorneys, and past, present, and future divisions,

	For Plaintiffs:	
	Dated:	Nicole Rawley
	Dated: 03/13/16	Anna Kronova K (a vova DELONG, CALDWELL, BRIDGERS,
		FITZPATRICK & BENJAMIN, LLC
	Dated:	By: Counsel for Plaintiffs
		SMITH AND COLLINS, ATTORNEYS LLC
AND	Dated:	By: Former Counsel for Plaintiffs
	For Defendants:	TATŢLE TAIL INC.
	Dated:	By:
	Dated:	Denis G. Kaufman
	Dated:	Richard R. Schronce

	For Plaintiffs:	
	Dated: MARCH 20, 2016	Nicole Rawley
	Dated:	Anna Kronova
		DELONG, CALDWELL, BRIDGERS, FITZPATRICK & BENJAMIN, LLC
	Dated:	By:Counsel for Plaintiffs
		SMITH AND COLLINS, ATTORNEYS LLC
ANID	Dated:	By: Former Counsel for Plaintiffs
AND	For Defendants:	TATTLE TAIL INC.
	Dated:	By: Denis G. Kaufman, CEO and CFO
	Dated:	Denis G. Kaufman
	Dated:	Richard R. Schronce

	For Pla	intiffs:			
	Dated:				
		~		Nicole Rawley	
	Dated:		<u> </u>	Anna Kronova	
				DELONG, CALDW FITZPATRICK & BEN	
	Dated:	3/18/16	i kratijok-j Natvijos v	By: Minhold. Counsel for Plaintiff	s de la constant de l
		in the second		SMITH AND COLLIN	S, ATTORNEYS LL
nelle sadonne	Dated:	*		By:Former Counsel for	Plaintiffs
AND					
	For De	fendants:	or to election	TATTLE TAIL INC.	
	Dated:	» Šku	Company of the second	By:	a evit a
a Cataliji.		no.	in the state of th	Denis G. Kaufman,	
i par	10,			Denis G. Kaufman	n, which
	Dated:	*		Richard R. Schronce	

	For Plaintiffs:	
	Dated:	Nicole Rawley
	Dated:	Anna Kronova
		DELONG, CALDWELL, BRIDGERS, FITZPATRICK & BENJAMIN, LLC
	Dated:	By:Counsel for Plaintiffs
AND	Dated: 4/1)16	SMITH AND COLLINS, ATTORNEYS LLC By: A L C Former Counsel for Plaintiffs
AND	For Defendants:	TATTLE TAIL INC.
	Dated:	By: Denis G. Kaufman, CEO and CFO
	Dated:	Denis G. Kaufman
	Dated:	Richard R. Schronce

For Plaintiffs:	
Dated:	
	Nicole Rawley
Dated:	
	Anna Kronova
	DELONG, CALDWELL, BRIDGERS, FITZPATRICK & BENJAMIN, LLC
Dated: 3/18/16	By Michel O. Coursel for Plaintiffs
•	SMITH AND COLLINS, ATTORNEYS LIC
Dated:	Ву:
	By: Former Counsel for Plaintiffs
For Defendants:	
	TATTLE TAIL INC.
man mlante	By: Wen I Kant
Dated: 3/23/1L	Denis G. Kaufman, CEO and CFO
	Dains G. Katalinari, CEO and CFO
Dated: 3/23//L	Wer II Con
•	Denis G. Kaufman
Dated:	
	Richard R. Schronce

	For Plaintiffs:	
	Dated:	Nicole Rawley
	Dated: 03/18/16	Anna Kronova K Cerrova DELONG, CALDWELL, BRIDGERS, FITZPATRICK & BENJAMIN, LLC
	Dated:	By: Counsel for Plaintiffs SMITH AND COLLINS, ATTORNEYS LLC
AND	Dated:	By: Former Counsel for Plaintiffs
	For Defendants:	TATTLE TAIL INC.
	Dated:	By: Denis G. Kaufman, CEO and CFO
	Dated:	Denis G. Raufman
	Dated: 3-23-2016	Richard R. Schronce

LAW OFFICES	OF	GREGORY R	. FIDL	ON.	P.C
LAW OTTICLS		OIT OOK I		~ ,	

Dated: APRIL 5, 2016

By: ________ Counsel for Defendants

EXHIBIT A CONSENT JUDGMENT

WHEREAS, the parties have jointly presented this Consent Judgment to the Court for entry; and

WHEREAS, after reviewing the pleadings it appears this Consent Judgment is proper and justified;

ıs pr	oper and justi	ified;						
	IT IS HER	EBY O	RDERED AN	ND ADJUDG	GED th	at Defe	ndants are li	able
to	Plaintiffs	and	Plaintiffs'	Counsel	in	the	amount	of
			Dollars.	Interest shall	l accrue	e as allo	owed by law.	•
	SO ORDEF	RED this	day of		, 2	20		
		- A	Amy Totenbe	rg			_	
		I	udge IIS D	istrict Court	Northe	rn Distr	ict of Georg	ria -

EXHIBIT 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

NICOLE RAWLEY and ANNA

KRANOVA,

.

Plaintiffs,

v.

Civil Action No.: 1:15-CV-03550-AT

TATTLE TAIL, INC. d/b/a Tattletale

Lounge, a corporation; and

DENIS KAUFMAN and RICHARD

R. SCHRONCE, individuals,

:

Defendants.

DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY OF MITCHELL D. BENJAMIN

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746, Attorney Mitchell D. Benjamin hereby submits his declaration in support of the Parties' Joint Motion to Approve the Settlement Agreement in this FLSA Action.

1.

My name is Mitchell D. Benjamin. I am over the age of twenty-one (21) and suffer from no legal disabilities.

Since June 27, 2015, I, along with Charles R. Bridgers and associate Matthew W. Herrington, have represented the Plaintiffs in this action.

3.

Based on my experience and training, I possess the requisite skills to adequately represent my client and to offer my professional opinion concerning the reasonableness of the number of hours expended in this case and the reasonableness of the hourly rates sought to be recovered \$400 per hour for Mitchell D. Benjamin and Charles R. Bridgers and \$275 per hour for associate Matthew W. Herrington.

Mr. Benjamin's Relevant Experience

4.

I graduated with from the State University of New York at Stony Brook in 1989, where I was a member of *Pi Sigma Alpha*, the National Political Science Honor Society. Thereafter, I attended Yeshiva University's Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law in New York City, graduating *Cum Laude* in 1993. I have been a member of the New York State bar since February, 1994 and member of the Georgia State bar since December, 1996 and am a member in good standing with both. I have an AV rating from Martindale-Hubbell. Immediately after my graduation from Yeshiva University in 1993 through approximately May, 1996, I was an associate

attorney employed by the firm of Coles & Weiner, P.C., a labor and civil rights litigation firm in New York. Between approximately May, 1996 and December, 1996. I was a sole practitioner in New York City, handling various litigation matters, including employment discrimination and overtime matters. In December, 1996, I relocated to Georgia. From June, 1997 until December, 2000, I was an associate attorney employed by the firm of Kirwan, Parks, Chesin & Miller, P.C. During my tenure at that firm, the firm changed its name to Parks, Chesin & Miller, P.C. and to Parks, Chesin, Walbert & Miller, P.C. While with this firm, I, with very few exceptions, exclusively represented employees in civil rights and labor and employment matters, including FLSA collective actions. From December, 2000 through December, 2008, I was managing partner of the law firm of Johnson & Benjamin LLP. In January, 2009, I formed Billips & Benjamin LLP, in which I served as managing partner. As managing partner in the firms, I have supervised the work of other attorneys, paralegals and secretaries and continued to work extensively in the labor and employment field. In June, 2015, I joined the firm of DeLong, Caldwell, Bridgers, Fitzpatrick & Benjamin LLC as a partner. I was also elected to the Board of Directors for the Georgia chapter of the National Employment Lawyers Association (NELA-GA) in 2004 and was elected as treasurer in 2005 and again in 2006. I was also the Program Chair for the 2005 ICLE Employment Rights Seminar

and have been a guest on television's "Layman's Lawyer" on PBS. I have an AV rating in the Martindale-Hubbell Law Directory.

5.

During my career, I have on several occasions represented hundreds of employees in collective actions under the FLSA, including Eddie Fields, et al. v. Gwinnett County Georgia, (over 400 fire fighters who challenged the applicability of the partial exemption for firefighters provided in 29 U.S.C. § 207(k) due to the fact that most of the work they performed, including most of the time spent working, were as paramedics and EMTs, rather than as firefighters), Prickett v. DeKalb County, Georgia, 254 F.3d 74 (11th Cir. 2001) (over 400 firefighters) and represented over 600 police officers in Michael Scott Kreher, et al. v. City of Atlanta, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division, Civil File Action No. 1:04-CV-2651-WSD resulting in a settlement of \$7,500,000. In 2010, I represented over 260 plaintiffs in an FLSA collective action which resulted in an approved settlement of over \$600,000 in U.S. District Court, Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division, Lynley Edward, et al. v. Mattress Giant Corporation, Civil Action File No. 1:10-CV-1735-HLM-WEJ. In 2010, I represented 11 Fire Inspectors (Arson Investigators) in this Court against the City of Atlanta in J.D. Phillips v. City of Atlanta, 1:10-CV-2427-WEJ. That case resulted in a settlement of over \$271,000

and a reclassification of the arson investigators such that they receive overtime pay based on a forty hour workweek instead of 212 hours over a 28 day work period. In 2014, I represented 36 warehouse workers who alleged they were misclassified as independent contractors in Jamaal Foster, et al. v. A-1 Quality Labor Services, LLC, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division, Civil Action File No. 1:11-CV-3342-JEC. That case resulted in a settlement of \$400,000. In 2013 and 2014 I represented 13 Fire Lieutenants and 2 Battalion Chiefs against the City of East Point who alleged that they were misclassified as exempt. That settlement resulted in significant back pay and an agreement to reclassify the Lieutenants and Battalion Chiefs as non-exempt going forward. Brandon Ervin, et al. v. City of East Point, Georgia, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division, Civil Action File No. 1:12-CV-04270-CAP. In 2014, I represented nine servers at a restaurant who alleged that they were improperly denied minimum and overtime wages under the "tip-credit" provisions of the FLSA. That case resulted in a settlement of over \$141,000. Amy Anderson, et al. v. RMG Corporation, et al., U.S. District Court, Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division, Civil Action No. 1:14-CV-01551-AT. Other representative settlements and judgments include: Kirk Butler, et al. v. State Security & Investigations, LLC, Cobb County Superior Court, Civil Action File No. 07-1-10805-35 (judgment for over \$225,000 for five security

guards); David Meador v. Jim Carrie Lane, Inc., Newton County Superior Court, Civil Action File No. 2009-1299-3 (judgment for over \$66,000 for a cook); Cecile Ortiz v. TK Atlanta LLC et al., Fulton County Superior Court, Civil Action File No. 2014-cv-251074 (judgment for \$8,975 for restaurant server in October, 2015); Charlie Bickley, et el. v. J. Scott Memorials, LLC, et al., U.S. District Court, Middle District of Georgia, Macon Division, 5:15-cv-00209-CAR (Settlement of \$29,907.39 for two laborers). The Butler Court awarded me fees at the rate of \$310.00 per hour in October, 2008; the Meador Court awarded fees to me based on a \$350.00 per hour rate in July, 2009; the Edwards court approved my then rate of \$350 per hour in 2012, and the Foster, Ervin, Anderson, Ortiz and Bickley Courts approved my rate of \$375 per hour in 2014 and 2015. My hourly rate of \$375 was also approved in October, 2015 by the Superior Court of Floyd County, Georgia in approving the FLSA settlement in Treva Evans v. Shrinathji-Krupa, Inc. et al., 14-CV-01910-JFL-003. In short, during the 22 years I have been in the private practice of law, I have represented over 1600 plaintiffs in collective and individual actions under the FLSA and FLSA work constitutes over 90% of my practice.

6.

During my 22 years as an attorney, I have participated in hundreds of federal employment/labor charges and cases and have been lead counsel in a large number

of cases. In November, 2013, a jury awarded our client over \$2,600,000 in a single plaintiff race discrimination and FLSA retaliation case in Michael Cooper v. M&S Auto Parts of Fayetteville, Inc. et al., Civil File Action No. 10-CV-0849A in Henry County Superior Court and found my hourly rate of \$375 per hour to be reasonable. In August, 2013, a jury awarded our five clients contractually owed severance pay and attorneys' fees and costs of approximately \$500,000 in Dr. Johnny Wilson, et al. v. Clark Atlanta University, Inc., Civil File Action No. 2011-CV-196090. Based on my extensive experience in litigating civil rights and labor and employment claims, I am familiar with the hourly rates charged by other civil rights and labor and employment attorneys, including attorneys who represent primarily plaintiffs and attorneys who represent primarily employers.

The Work Performed By Plaintiffs' Counsel On This Case

7.

From inception, I was lead counsel on this case. However, Mr. Herrington, under my supervision, performed the vast majority of the work on this case. My role was to assist Mr. Herrington in developing an overall litigation strategy. I also took the lead role in settlement negotiations.

Attached hereto as Exhibit "A", is a detailed listing and summary of the legal services performed by the attorneys at my firm on this matter. The hours set forth in the attached itemizations are accurate, were reasonably and necessarily devoted to the case, and reflect no duplication of effort. This compilation is based upon my contemporaneously made time records and those of associate Matthew W. Herrington. Mr. Herrington's hourly rate is \$275 per hour, which was the amount we charge for his services for hourly clients as well. As a Partner in this firm, I review Mr. Herrington's timesheets on a monthly basis for accuracy and reasonableness and have done so with respect to his time on this case. I also supervised his work on this case. In the course of representing the Plaintiffs, my firm spent more than a combined 65 hours litigating the case as of April 1, 2016. These charges are reflected on Exhibit "A" and total \$22,008.50 for the work performed by DeLong, Caldwell, Bridgers, Fitzpatrick & Benjamin LLC. However, total fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the Settlement Agreement is \$31,921.05, of which \$8,750.06 will be paid to the firm of Smith, Collins & Fletcher, P.A, to compensate them for the work performed on Plaintiffs' behalf in the earlier litigation of Farnesworth et al. v. Tattle Tail, Inc., et al., Civil Action File No. 1:14-CV-00090-AT, more fully described below.

The Settlement Should Be Approved As Fair

9.

This Court should approve the settlement agreement as fair for several reasons. First, the amount Plaintiffs will receive is a significant amount of back wages and liquidated damages, and is in the range of possible recoveries at trial. The Court will recall that Plaintiffs were part of an FLSA collective action against these same defendants entitled Nicole Rawley, et al. v. Tattle Tail, Inc., et al., Civil Action File No. 1:14-CV-00090-AT. However, Plaintiffs Rawley and Anna Kranova were dissatisfied with the proposed settlement in that action and, with this Court's permission, "opted-out" of that case to pursue their own claims in this action. The proposed settlement in the earlier case would have paid Ms. Rawley \$10,642.69 and Ms. Kranova \$7,469.08. After Ms. Rawley and Ms. Kranova "opted-out" of that case, this Court approved the settlement on behalf of the other plaintiffs on September 25, 2015 (Dkt. No. 65). The current proposed settlement, if approved, will pay Ms. Rawley \$29,473.42 and will pay Ms. Kranova \$19,855.53. The proposed settlement will pay these Plaintiffs almost 300% more than the previous settlement would have paid them. It is clearly an excellent settlement which should be approved as fair and reasonable. Half of the total amount of the settlement proceeds to each Plaintiff will represent wages for which a W-2 Form will be issued

and half will represent liquidated damages for which a 1099 Form will be issued. Further, each and every plaintiff has agreed to the settlement and their individual settlement amounts and has signed the Settlement Agreement.

10.

Plaintiffs' claims involve being misclassified as independent contractors who were paid no wages at all for all hours worked. For this reason, the credibility of the witnesses is very important and likely would determine whether the Plaintiffs would be awarded pay for each and every alleged unpaid work hour each week. The accuracy of time records of Defendant were disputed by Plaintiffs. This dispute made an evaluation of the risks of trial particularly difficult. Plaintiffs have been made aware of the risks and wholeheartedly agree to and approve of this proposed settlement. In my opinion, this is a good and fair settlement which provides substantial benefit to the plaintiffs and should be approved as a fair and reasonable compromise of bona fide disputed FLSA claims.

The Fees And Costs Sought By Plaintiffs' Counsel Are Fair And Reasonable

11.

Consistent with the "lodestar" framework for analyzing attorney's fees claims mandated in <u>Hensley v. Eckerhart</u>, 461 U.S. 424, 103 S.Ct 1933, 76 L.Ed.2d 40 (1983), as interpreted in <u>Norman v. Housing Authority of the City of Montgomery</u>,

836 F.2d 1292 (11th Cir. 1988) and <u>Perkins v. Mobile Housing Bd.</u>, 847 F.2d 735 (11th Cir. 1988), the following information is intended to establish the reasonableness of the number of hours expended in this case, as well as the reasonableness of my hourly rate in light of the prevailing market conditions in the Atlanta, Georgia geographical area.

12.

As demonstrated in attachments to this declaration, none of the hours expended by counsel has been "excessive", "redundant" or "unnecessary". To the contrary, at every opportunity, Plaintiffs' attorneys attempted to economize and to minimize legal fees and expenses.

13.

In light of the factors specified in Johnson v. Georgia Highway Express, 488 F.2d 714 (5th Cir 1974), the total fee and costs of \$31,921.05 (including fees and costs of \$8,750.06 to the firm of Smith, Collins & Fletcher, P.A, to compensate them for the work performed on Plaintiffs' behalf in the earlier litigation) which will be paid to Plaintiffs' counsel pursuant to the settlement agreement is eminently reasonable, especially since the actual fees and costs incurred by my firm exceed \$22,000 and we will receive \$23,170.99 over many months.

My hourly rate of \$400.00 per hour is reasonable and in line with the rates charged by other attorneys in the Atlanta area who possess similar skill, experience and training. I charge all of my clients \$400.00 per hour (with the exception of firefighter unions which I charge less), whether paid hourly or on a contingency fee basis and have done so since January, 2016. I had charged \$375.00 per hour from 2013-2015. This rate (\$375) was also found reasonable in the Court's approval of the settlement in Jamaal Foster, et al. v. A-1 Quality Labor Services LLC, et al., 1:11-CV-03342-JEC (Docket No. 90, expressly finding my \$375 hourly rate as reasonable in April, 2014, p. 10); Amy Anderson, et al. v. RMG Corporation, et al, 1:14-CV-01551-AT (Docket No. 17, approving \$375 hourly rate specified in Docket No. 16); Brandon Ervin, et al. v. City of East Point, Georgia, 1:12-cv-04270-CAP (Docket No. 80, approving \$375 hourly rate requested in Docket No. 67-3); Treva Evans v. Shrinathji-Krupa, Inc. et al., 14-CV-01910-JFL-003 (approved October, 2015); Cecile Ortiz v. TK Atlanta LLC et al., 2014-cv-251074 (approved October, 2015). As noted above, in 2013, a jury also found my rate of \$375.00 per hour to be reasonable, and as long ago as July, 2009, a court has found my \$350.00 per hour rate to be reasonable for FLSA work.

Based upon all of the above, this Court should approve the settlement agreement as a fair and reasonable compromise of bona fide disputed FLSA claims.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 1st day of April, 2016.

Mitchell D. Benjamin

EXHIBIT A

		Selection Criteria	•		
Clie.Selection Slip.Classification	Include: TattleT_Rawley Open				
Rate Info - identifie	s rate source and level				
Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description		Timekeeper Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
99584 6/27/2015 WIP Call with Rawley i potential	TIME to discuss retainer and settlemer	M. Herrington ClientComm TattleT_Rawley nt	0.82 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	224.58
	TIME RB and MDB re: contacting Phone call with Anna Kranova	M. Herrington Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.33 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	91.67
	TIME Herrington and Benjamin re Rawley and Anna Kranova.	C.R. Bridgers Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	200.00
99672 6/30/2015 WIP Followup with Ber actions, set up clie	njamin, Herrington re next ent in t.slips.	C.R. Bridgers Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.30 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	120.00
99678 6/27/2015 WIP Calls with Kranova Anthony Collins	TIME a and Rawley to discuss letter to	M. Herrington ClientComm TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	55.00
99728 6/30/2015 WIP drafting letter to W old emails	TIME /AC; emails with CRB; reviewing	M. Herrington Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.30 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	82.50
99765 7/3/2015 WIP meeting with Rawl	TIME 4:34 PM ey, intake	M. Herrington Intake TattleT_Rawley	0.66 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	181.81

ng Page

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description		Timekeeper Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
99773 7/6/2015 WIP discussion re: sett	TIME tlement strategy with MDB	M. Herrington Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	137.50
99808 7/6/2015 WIP Meet with Benjam	TIME in re plan going forward.	C.R. Bridgers Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	80.00
99932 7/8/2015 WIP Kranova Intake w/	TIME 3:59 PM MDB	M. Herrington Intake TattleT_Rawley	1.55 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	425.94
100215 6/29/2015 WIP Meet with Herringt representation of p	TIME on and Bridgers re: possible plaintiffs.	M. Benjamin ClientComm TattleT_Rawley	0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	200.00
100222 7/1/2015 WIP Review draft of let Collins.	TIME ter from Herrington to Anthony	M. Benjamin Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.10 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	40.00
100223 7/2/2015 WIP Telephone confere	TIME ence with Anthony Collins.	M. Benjamin Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.35 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	140.00
	TIME on to discuss case and meeting and settlement strategy.	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	200.00
	TIME to discuss case and meeting and settlement strategy.	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	80.00
100226 7/8/2015 WIP Meet with Herringto	TIME on and Anna Kranova.	M. Benjamin ClientComm TattleT_Rawley	0.83 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	333.33
100227 7/9/2015 WIP	TIME	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	80.00

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description		Timekeeper Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
	re: letter to Collins and Greg		<u>Variance</u> 0.00		
7/15/2015 WIP	IME ony re clietns and suggestion	C.R. Bridgers Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.10 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	40.00
7/16/2015 WIP	IME re: communication with OC nts from collective	M. Herrington Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.40 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	110.00
7/15/2015 WIP	IME ony re cllients and suggestion	C.R. Bridgers Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.10 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	40.00
7/15/2015 WIP Review and revise dra	ME aft of letter to Collins and Herrington and Bridgers with	M. Benjamin Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	200.00
7/16/2015 WIP	ME re: communications with	M. Benjamin Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.40 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	160.00
7/16/2015 WIP	ME g counsel; discuss with	M. Benjamin Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.83 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	333.33
7/17/2015 WIP	ME of email to Fidlon as per	M. Benjamin Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	80.00
100412 TII 7/17/2015 WIP discussion with CRB a conversation with OC	ME and MDB re: phone	M. Herrington Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.30 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	82.50

Page

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description		Timekeeper Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
100415 7/17/2015 WIP	TIME from Benjamin to Fidlon	C.R. Bridgers Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	80.00
100586 7/28/2015 WIP damages calculat with MDB; email t	TIME tions; texts with clients; discussion	M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley on	2.25 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	618.75
100591 7/28/2015 WIP Conf with M. Benj tolling agreement	TIME amin re response to Fidlon re	C.R. Bridgers Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.10 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	40.00
discussion with M	TIME 10:29 AM ges based on schedules; DB re: discovery schedule and th OC; reviewing docket and e; email to MDB	M. Herrington DamageEst TattleT_Rawley	1.09 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	298.68
100698 7/29/2015 WIP Review draft emai	TIME If to Fidion and respond x3	C.R. Bridgers Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.40 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	160.00
	TIME g notices of appearance; DB; emails to CRB and MDB	M. Herrington Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.25 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	68.75
100753 7/17/2015 WIP Telephone confere	TIME ence with Greg Fidlon	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.40 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	160.00
	TIME and Herrington re: with Fidlon and strategy	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	200.00
	TIME Greg Fidlon; review docket 6, 48 and 50; review JPRDS;	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.67 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	266.67

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description	ringtoin and Bridgers for review	Timekeeper Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
before sending to					
100756 7/29/2015 WIP Review email fron	TIME n Fidlon; draft response email.	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.90 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	360.00
100757 7/29/2015 WIP revise draft of ema and Bridgers.	TIME ail to Fidlon as per Herrington	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	80.00
100758 7/30/2015 WIP Research named settlement in colle	TIME plaintiff's right to object to ctive action.	M. Benjamin Research-Legal TattleT_Rawley	2.50 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	1000.00
100759 7/30/2015 WIP Send email to And objection to settler	TIME by Head seeking advice re: ment.	M. Benjamin Research-Legal TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	80.00
100760 7/31/2015 WIP Discuss strategy w	TIME vith Bridgers.	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	80.00
100874 8/3/2015 WIP discussion with ME time records and a	TIME DB; emails with clients; reviewin iffidavits	M. Herrington Misc TattleT_Rawley g	0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	137.50
100897 8/3/2015 WIP reviewing timeshee	TIME ets, calculating hours worked	M. Herrington DamageEst TattleT_Rawley	2.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	550.00
100912 7/31/2015 WIP Conference with M moving forward.	TIME . Benjamin re strategy on	C.R. Bridgers Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.23 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	92.00
100937 8/4/2015 WIP Conf with M. Benja	TIME 3:37 PM min re communication with	C.R. Bridgers Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.14 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	56.00

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description Rawley and status	of sattlement	Timekeeper Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
100972 8/5/2015 WIP	TIME smissal; discussion with MDB	M. Herrington Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.60 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	165.00
	TIME of dismissal and withdrawal of hails to MDB and to clients	M. Herrington Motions TattleT_Rawley	0.40 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	110.00
	TIME n, Herrington re call from Fidlon n and leave vmm; Discuss sal.	C.R. Bridgers Misc TattleT_Rawley ;	0.30 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	120.00
100979 8/6/2015 WIP Review dismissal o	TIME draft by Herrington and commen	C.R. Bridgers Settlement TattleT_Rawley t.	0.10 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	40.00
100992 8/6/2015 WIP drafting joint stipula agreement, emails consent	TIME ation of dismissal, drafting tolling with clients re: withdrawal of	M. Herrington Motions TattleT_Rawley	0.60 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	165.00
schedules; adding	TIME 1:46 PM calculations based on fees estimates; reviewing client DB: discussion with MDB re: egy	M. Herrington DamageEst TattleT_Rawley	2.98 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	819.27
reviewing documer	TIME 9:51 AM : new damages estimates; of production from club; email to on of additional documents	M. Herrington ClientComm TattleT_Rawley	0.21 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	57.67
101146 8/13/2015 WIP call with OC re: dar document production	TIME nages calculation and on	M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.33 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	91.67

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description		Timekeeper Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
101166 8/13/2015 WIP email to OC; resea	TIME arch on kickback law	M. Herrington Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	55.00
101334 8/19/2015 WIP discussion with ME tomorrow	TIME DB re: phone conference	M. Herrington Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.10 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	27.50
101342 8/19/2015 WIP discussing pending	TIME phone conference with DMB	M. Herrington Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.30 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	82.50
101351 8/20/2015 WIP phone conference	TIME with judge	M. Herrington Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.25 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	68.75
101492 7/31/2015 WIP Discuss settlement Bridgers.	TIME strategy and options with	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	80.00
with Herrington and	TIME from Rawley (.20); discuss Bridgers usefullness of emails nearing re: proposed settlemen	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley s	0.58 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	233.33
8/4/2015 WIP Review signatures of	TIME on settlement agreement and ent. Discuss with Bridgers	M. Benjamin Motions TattleT_Rawley	0.40 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	160.00
8/5/2015 WIP	TIME for fairness and create overies.	M. Benjamin Motions TattleT_Rawley	1.50 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	600.00
8/5/2015 WIP	TIME	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	200.00

Page

		, , , , , , , ,			. 490 0
Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description		Timekeeper Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
101502 8/6/2015 WIP Review and revis prejudice for Raw	TIME e draft of Dismissal without ley.	M. Benjamin Motions TattleT_Rawley	0.10 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	40.00
101503 8/6/2015 WIP review and revise Rawley and Kran counsel and co-c	TIME draft of tolling agreement for ova; draft email to opposing ounsel with draft.	M. Benjamin Motions TattleT_Rawley	0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	200.00
101504 8/7/2015 WIP Review revised di opposing counsel	TIME raft of tolling agreement from ; draft email to opposing counse	M. Benjamin Motions TattleT_Rawley	0.10 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	40.00
101505 8/19/2015 WIP Telephone confer	TIME ence with opposing counsel.	M. Benjamin Motions TattleT_Rawley	0.10 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	40.00
101506 8/19/2015 WIP Meet with Herring with court.	TIME ton to prepare for conference ca	M. Benjamin Motions TattleT_Rawley all	0.35 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	140.00
101507 8/20/2015 WIP Prepare for court dismissal without Herringtomn and I	TIME conference on Stipulation of prejudice; discuss with Bridgers.	M. Benjamin Court-Hearing TattleT_Rawley	1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	400.00
101508 8/20/2015 WIP Participate in cour dismissal without p	TIME t conference on Stipulation of prejudice.	M. Benjamin Court-Hearing TattleT_Rawley	0.35 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	140.00
101516 8/10/2015 WIP Meet with Herringt	TIME on re: damages calculations.	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	200.00
102834 10/6/2015 WIP drafting rawley cor	TIME 11:02 AM nplaint; discussion with MDB;	M. Herrington Compl_COIP_Ser TattleT_Rawley	1.52 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	418.76

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description final revisions; preparin	ng for filing	Timekeeper Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
102847 TIM 10/6/2015 WIP File Complaint, Summo Sheet with Court		J. Sorrenti Compl_COIP_Ser TattleT_Rawley	0.30 0.00 0.00 0.00	135.00 T@1	40.50
102911 TIM 10/7/2015 WIP Prepared COIP, finalize prepared certified letter completed form 3949-A	ed and filed with Court; to IRS re 7434 claims;	J. Sorrenti Compl_COIP_Ser TattleT_Rawley	0.60 0.00 0.00 0.00	135.00 T@1	81.00
102996 TIM 10/6/2015 WIP review and revise draft revisions to Herrington.	of complaint; email	M. Benjamin Compl_COIP_Ser TattleT_Rawley	0.40 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	160.00
103049 EXF 10/6/2015 WIP Filing Fee		CRB-KDF \$Filing Fee TattleT_Rawley	1	400.00	400.00
103910 TIM 11/20/2015 WIP email to OC with settlen		M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	55.00
104384 TIM 11/18/2015 WIP Meet with Herrington re:		M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.40 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	160.00
104586 TIMI 12/16/2015 WIP Prepare Notice of Suit a opposing counsel.		M. Sprayberry Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	95.00 T@1	19.00
104767 TIME 12/28/2015 WIP discussion of D's counte MDB		M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.30 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	82.50
104837 TIME 12/30/2015 WIP drafting response to sett		M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	6.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	1650.00

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description reviewing OC offedocuments re: sh	er; research; reviewing discovery ifts worked	Timekeeper Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
104838 1/3/2016 WIP finishing letter to 0	TIME OC; email to MDB	M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.75 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	206.25
104847 1/4/2016 WIP reviewing MDB ed OC	TIME 1:45 PM dits to settlement letter; email to	M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.22 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	61.34
105124 1/18/2016 WIP call with client re:	TIME offer from defendants	M. Herrington ClientComm TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	55.00
105132 1/18/2016 WIP discussion with M	TIME DB re: settlement offer from D	M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.17 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	45.83
	TIME ton to discuss defendant's ettlement and proper response.	M. Benjamin Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.35 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	140.00
	TIME on to discuss settlement amages calculations.	M. Benjamin Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.40 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	160.00
	TIME draft of Herrington's letter to re: settlement; discuss with	M. Benjamin Settlement TattleT_Rawley	1.40 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	560.00
105779 2/8/2016 WIP Conference with B response; Review	TIME enjamin re demand and email	C.R. Bridgers Misc TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	80.00
105807 2/9/2016 WIP	TIME 3:37 PM	M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	1.79 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	492.94

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description call with OC; discription drafting letter to C	ussion with MDB re: settlement; oC; calls with clients	Timekeeper Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance 0.00	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
	TIME on to prepare for call with Fidlon, tegize on offer; F.up with ll.	C.R. Bridgers Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.45 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	180.00
	TIME demand and defendants' email to Greg Fidlon rejecting	M. Benjamin Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	200.00
106163 2/9/2016 WIP Meet with Bridgers settlement offers a	TIME s and Herrington to discuss and strateegy.	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	80.00
106164 2/9/2016 WIP Telephone confere Herrington re: sett	TIME ence with Greg Fidlon and lement.	M. Benjamin Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.58 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	233.33
106165 2/9/2016 WIP Meet with Herringt	TIME on re: settlement.	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	200.00
106166 2/9/2016 WIP Meet with Bridgers	TIME re: settlement strategy.	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.25 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	100.00
	TIME s' counteroffer of settlement; discuss response with	M. Benjamin Settlement TattleT_Rawley	2.33 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	933.33
106168 2/22/2016 WIP Telephone confere settlement.	TIME nce with Greg Fidlon re:	M. Benjamin Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.10 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T@1	40.00

je 12

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description		Timekeeper Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value		
106169 2/24/2016 WIP Discuss settlemen Herrington.	TIME t offer from Defendants with	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	80.00		
106174 2/24/2016 WIP calls with clients to with MDB	TIME approve new offer; discussion	M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	55.00		
106241 2/24/2016 WIP Discuss settlemen various emails from	TIME t strategy with Benjamin; review n opp counsel.	C.R. Bridgers Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.35 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	140.00		
settlement check a	TIME 10:21 AM nt agreement; allocating mounts; calls/emails with DB/CRB; discussion with MDB;	M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	1.18 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	323.28		
	TIME sing counsel with counter-offer uss with Herrington.	M. Benjamin Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	80.00		
106792 3/18/2016 WIP calls with clients to	TIME discuss settlement agreement	M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	55.00		
motion to approve a motion to approve a	TIME B re: affidavits and edits to settlement; review of draft settlement; further discussion for affidavit from MWH	M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	137.50		
	TIME 10:24 AM approve settlement; drafting a with MDB; revising per MDB	M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	1.92 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	529.07		

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description 106952	TIME	Timekeeper Activity Client Reference M. Herrington	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance 2.00	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
3/28/2016 WIP editing joint motic Lynn's Food anal	on to approve settlement, adding ysis and attorney's fees analysis	Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.00 0.00 0.00	T@1	000,00
106960 3/28/2016 WIP Review and revise FLSA Settlement, Bridgers.	TIME e draft of Joint motion to approve discuss with Herrington and	M. Benjamin Motions TattleT_Rawley	0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	200.00
approve settleme	changes to joint motion to nt from opposing counsel; draft on re: same, as well as Anthony respond to us.	M. Benjamin Motions TattleT_Rawley	0.25 0.00 0.00 0.00	400,00 T	100.00
107019 3/31/2016 WIP call with client re: discussion with M for settlement	TIME case status; email to OC; DB re: Collins signature, motion	M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	55.00
execution of Settle	TIME nail to send to Anthony Collins reement Agreement. Send to ington for review and comment.	M. Benjamin Motions TattleT_Rawley	0.25 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	100.00
107024 3/31/2016 WIP Review and respo Fidlon re: Collins' l agreement.	TIME nd to emails from Herrington and ack of signature on settlement	M. Benjamin Conferral TattleT_Rawley I	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	400.00 T	80.00
	TIME firm re: W-9 and agreement ettlement agreement draft; email	M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.40 0.00 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	110.00
107034 4/1/2016 WIP		M. Herrington Settlement TattleT_Rawley	0.40 0.00 0.00	275.00 T@1	110.00

Slip ID

107036

WIP

107037

WIP

4/1/2016

response.

4/1/2016

Grand Total

Dates and Time

Posting Status

Description

DeLong Caldwell Bridgers Fitzpatrick & Benjamin, LLC

Total

Slip Listing

Page

22008.50

14

Timekeeper Units Rate Slip Value Activity DNB Time Rate Info Client Est. Time Bill Status Reference Var<u>iance</u> emails with OC; communication with clients re: 0.00 settlement terms and execution TIME M. Benjamin 0.17 400.00 66.67 Motions 0.00 Τ TattleT_Rawley 0.00 Review email string between Fidlon and Herrington 0.00 re: Collins' signing of agreement. Draft email TIME M. Benjamin 400.00 0.20 80.00 Motions 0.00 Τ TattleT Rawley 0.00 Revise and finalize declaration in support of motion 0.00 to approve FLSA settlement. Billable 65.78 22008.50 Unbillable 0.00 0.00

65.78

EXHIBIT 3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

NICOLE RAWLEY and ANNA KRANOVA,

Plaintiffs,

V.

TATTLE TAIL, INC. d/b/a
Tattletale Lounge, a corporation;
and DENIS KAUFMAN and
RICHARD R. SCHRONCE,
individuals,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.: 1:15-CV-03550-AT

DECLARATION OF MATTHEW W. HERRINGTON

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746, Attorney Matthew W. Herrington hereby submits his declaration in support of the Parties' Joint Motion to Approve the Settlement Agreement in this FLSA Action.

1.

My name is Matthew W. Herrington. I am over the age of twenty-one (21) and suffer from no legal disabilities.

Since June 27, 2015, I, along with Charles R. Bridgers and Mitchell D. Benjamin, have represented the Plaintiffs in this action.

3.

Based on my experience and training, I possess the requisite skills to adequately represent my client and to offer my professional opinion concerning the reasonableness of the number of hours expended in this case and the reasonableness of the hourly rate of \$275 per hour sought to be recovered for my work.

Mr. Herrington's Relevant Experience

4.

I graduated with from the Florida State University in 2004 with a Bachelor of Arts in Russian, with Honors in the Major, and having been named Outstanding Undergraduate in Slavic in three different years. Beginning in 2004, I attended the Harvard University Graduate School of Arts and Sciences as a Ph.D. candidate, and received a Master of Arts degree in Slavic Languages and Literatures in 2008. After spending one year (2009-2010) in Daegu, South Korea, as an English instructor, I attended the University of Georgia School of Law in Athens, Georgia, where I was a recipient of multiple merit scholarships, and graduated *cum laude* in

2013. I have been a member in good standing of the State Bar of Georgia since October 2013.

5.

During my time at the University of Georgia School of Law, I spent two semesters working in the Criminal Defense Clinic where I assisted attorneys in the Western District Public Defender's Office on felony criminal cases in the Athens-Clarke County Superior Court. I also spent one semester in the Capital Assistance Project, during which time I drafted a motion for new trial for a client convicted of murder and sentenced to death in Walton County, Georgia. Additionally, I worked for numerous Atlanta criminal attorneys throughout law school drafting motions and appellate briefs in both federal and state courts. By the time I was admitted to the State Bar of Georgia, I had briefed approximately 6 appeals, including a murder appeal to the Supreme Court of Georgia and three federal criminal appeals to the 5th and 11th Circuit U.S. Courts of Appeal.

6.

Immediately after my graduation from the University of Georgia School of Law in 2013, through approximately October 31, 2014, I was an associate attorney employed by the firm of Smith & Collins, LLC, a general practice law firm in Atlanta, Georgia, where my practice focused on Fair Labor Standards Act,

wrongful foreclosure, and general business litigation. Since November 1, 2014, I have worked at DeLong, Caldwell, Bridgers, Fitzpatrick & Benjamin, LLC (formerly DeLong, Caldwell, Bridgers & Fitzpatrick, LLC) as an associate attorney. There, my practice has been overwhelmingly focused on Fair Labor Standards Act litigation, as well as litigation involving the Family Medical Leave Act, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and other civil rights statutes. I am a member of the Georgia chapter of the National Employment Lawyers Association (NELA-GA).

7.

During my career I have represented more than 160 clients in FLSA individual and collective actions. Representative FLSA cases include Martin v. Jobo's, Inc. et al., 1:13-cv-4242-RWS (N.D. Ga.) (judgment for \$67,483.10 plus \$41,257.22 in attorney's fees and costs for bartender following successful bench trial); Dean v. 1715 Northside Drive, Inc. et al., 1:14-cv-3775-CAP (N.D. Ga.) (partial summary judgment granted to exotic dancer, settlement negotiations pending); Newell et al. v. IDK, Inc. et al., 1:14-cv-0219-TCB (N.D. Ga.) (confidential FLSA settlement on behalf of fifteen exotic dancers); Drews v. Jobo's, Inc. et al., 1:14-cv-3774-ODE (N.D. Ga.) (settlement for \$66,694 plus \$25,223.03 in attorney's fees and costs for bartender); Hart v. WBY, Inc. et al., 1:15-cv-67-AT (N.D. Ga.) (confidential FLSA)

settlement for waitress approved by this Court); Carter v. Doll House II, Inc. et al., Appeal No. 14-13132-AA (11th Cir.) (partial affirmance of trial court's denial of motion to compel arbitration); Maxey v. Doll House II, Inc. et al., 1:15-cv-00053-MHS (N.D. Ga.) and Carter v. Doll House II, Inc. et al., 1:14-cv-1097-MHS (N.D. Ga.) (confidential FLSA settlement of two related cases on behalf of seven exotic dancers); Hensley et al. v. S.G.T., Inc. et al., 1:15-cv-77-RWS (N.D. Ga.) (confidential FLSA settlement of claims by two exotic dancers and one bartender); Wynn v. International Follies, Inc. et al., 1:15-cv-3841-ELR (N.D. Ga.) (confidential FLSA settlement of claim by exotic dancer, approved by Court).

8.

I have previously appeared before this Court for two motion hearings and in one bench trial. I have also argued before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. I regularly participate in mediations and both take and defend depositions.

Work Performed by Plaintiffs' Counsel on This Case

9.

Under the supervision of Mitchell D. Benjamin, I have performed the vast majority of the work on this case, including legal research, examining evidence, calculating damages, conferring with opposing counsel, corresponding with Plaintiffs, and drafting pleadings and motions.

The hours and tasks enumerated in Attachment "A" attached to the Declaration of Mitchell D. Benjamin accurately reflect my work on this case, all of which was reasonable and necessary, and reflect no duplication of effort. My time entries were contemporaneously made by me.

11.

My hourly rate of \$275.00 per hour is reasonable and in line with the rates charged by other attorneys in the Atlanta area who possess similar skill, experience and training. \$275.00 per hour is the same rate that my firm bills my work at for hourly clients. My firm previously billed my work at \$235.00 per hour from October 2014 through December 2015.

The Settlement Should Be Approved As Fair

12.

This Court should approve the settlement agreement in this case as fair for several reasons. First, the gross amount Plaintiffs will receive represents nearly all the back wages and liquidated damages they could receive at trial (although the number of hours used for the calculation is somewhat lower than what Plaintiffs believe is accurate), and is in the range of possible recoveries at trial. The Court will recall that Plaintiffs were part of an FLSA collective action against these same

defendants in an action captioned Farnsworth et al. v. Tattle Tail, Inc., et al., Civil Action File No. 1:14-CV-00090-AT. Plaintiffs Rawley and Kranova were dissatisfied with the proposed settlement in that action and, with this Court's permission, "opted-out" of that case to pursue their own claims in this action. The proposed settlement in the earlier case would have paid Rawley \$10,642.69 and Kranova \$7,469.08. After Rawley and Kranova "opted-out" of that case, this Court approved the settlement on behalf of the other plaintiffs on September 25, 2015. [Dkt. No. 65] The current proposed settlement, if approved, will pay Ms. Rawley \$29,473.42 and will pay Ms. Kranova \$19,855.53, which reflects a deduction of 25% of their liquidated damages which is payable to our firm per the terms of their retainer agreements. This is almost 300% more than the previous settlement would have paid them. It is clearly an excellent settlement that should be approved as fair and reasonable. Half of the settlement proceeds to each Plaintiff will represent wages for which an IRS Form W-2 will be issued, and half will represent liquidated damages for which an IRS Form-1099 will be issued. Further, both Plaintiffs have agreed to the settlement and their individual settlement amounts and have signed the Settlement Agreement.

13.

Plaintiffs' claims involve being misclassified as independent contractors who were

paid no wages at all for all hours worked. For this reason, the credibility of the

witnesses is very important and likely would determine whether the Plaintiffs

would be awarded pay for each and every alleged unpaid work hour each week.

The accuracy of time records of Defendants was disputed by Plaintiffs. This

dispute made an evaluation of the risks of trial particularly difficult. Plaintiffs have

been made aware of the risks and wholeheartedly agree to and approve of this

proposed settlement. In my opinion, this is a good and fair settlement which

provides substantial benefit to the plaintiffs and should be approved as a fair and

reasonable compromise of bona fide disputed FLSA claims.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 5th day of April 2016.

/s/ Matthew W. Herrington

Matthew W. Herrington

Georgia Bar No. 275411